$SecureShare^{{}_{\rm TM}1}$

Safe UNIX/Windows File Sharing through Multiprotocol Locking

1. Patent Pending

Andrea Borr

Agenda

Multiprotocol File Sharing in Mixed UNIX/Windows Networks Problems with Uncoordinated Concurrent Reads and Writes Locking Model of CIFS Locking Model of UNIX with NFS/NLM Issues Impeding CIFS, NFS/NLM Interoperability Features of SecureShare = Multiprotocol Lock Manager The Uniform Lock-Mode Model Coping with NFS/NLM's Lack of File-Open The CIFS Oplock Model Why NFS and NLM Must Break Oplocks Example: NFS **rm** Encounters an Oplocked File... Conclusions



UNIX Clients -- NFS or NFS/NLM

Windows Clients -- CIFS or (PC)NFS

- Concurrent requests on shared server **files**, **directories**:
 - NFS read, write, create, rm, rmdir, mv, etc.
 - NLM byte-range lock
 - CIFS open, read, write, close, create, delete, rename, move, etc.
 - CIFS byte-range lock

Problems with Uncoordinated Concurrent Reads and Writes

Application Failures

File Data Integrity Problems

Cache Coherence Problems

Examples of Problems:

- 1 Readers receive stale data (currently being updated by another application)
- 2 Writers overwrite each others' updates
- 3 Applications have in-use files deleted or renamed "out from under" them

Locking Model of CIFS

CIFS Avoids Problems 1-3 by Assuming that the Server & all of Clients Conform to:

- Hierarchical Locking
 - Application must **open** file (getting file-lock) before doing **reads**, **writes**, **byte-range locks**, ..
 - Open specifies *access-mode* for requester (Read, Write, Read-Write) and *deny-mode* for others (Deny-None, Deny-Read, Deny-Write, Deny-All)
- Mandatory Locking
 - System validates reads, writes against file-locks, byte-range locks
 - Disallows read/write of file except under an open with appropriate *access-mode*
 - Disallows write/read of byte-range (non)exclusive-locked by another
 - Disallows **open** with *access-mode* incompatible with previous open's *deny-mode* or a *deny-mode* incompatible with previous open's *access-mode*

Locking Model of UNIX with NFS/NLM

- Non-hierarchical Locking, Lack of File-Open
 - No locking hierarchy or file-open functionality
 - No way to pre-declare an intended file *access-mode* before reads/writes, or a *deny-mode* for others accessing the file
 - No way to obtain a file-lock prior to requesting a byte-range lock.
- Advisory Locking
 - System does not validate **read, write, create**, **rm**, **rmdir**, **mv**, .. against locks
 - Enforcement of locks relies on compliance by well-behaved applications.

Issues Impeding CIFS, NFS/NLM Interoperability

- A. CIFS *Hierarchical* Locking vs. NFS/NLM *Non-hierarchical* Locking
- B. CIFS Mandatory Locking vs. NFS/NLM Advisory Locking
- C. Server OS (e.g. UNIX) may lack means to validate (local or NFS) **read**, **write**, **create**, **rm**, **rmdir**, **mv**, .. vs. CIFS locks

Problems 1-3 with Uncoordinated Concurrent Reads and Writes arise in the mixed CIFS, NFS/NLM environment if these issues are not dealt with, i.e.:

- 1 Readers receive stale data
- 2 Writers overwrite each others' updates
- 3 Applications have in-use files deleted or renamed "out from under" them



Multiprotocol Data Integrity Reconciles the different and incompatible locking and file-open semantics utilized by CIFS and NFS/NLM clients.

 Multiprotocol Oplock Management Supports standard CIFS oplocks, while at the same time making oplocked data available to NFS-based clients through multiprotocol oplock break.

 Multiprotocol Change-Notify Supports standard CIFS *change-notify*, while extending it to cover changes due to NFS in addition to covering changes due to CIFS

The Uniform Lock-Mode Model

- Uniform *lock-mode* encompasses both *file-locks* and *byte-range locks*
- *Lock-mode* expresses exclusivity of access: *lock-mode* = *access-mode* "+" *deny-mode*
- Open --> File-lock: lock-mode (file-lock) = access-mode & deny-mode (Open)
- Byte-range locks: *read-lock =* non-exclusive = Read/Deny-Write or *write-lock =* exclusive = Read-Write/Deny-All

Coping with NFS/NLM's Lack of File-Open

- **file-lock**'s *deny-mode* vs. **NLM byte-range lock**'s *access-mode* (approximates NFS/NLM's "Open" *access-mode*)
- Treat **NLM byte-range lock**'s *deny-mode* as Deny-None (only applies to byte-range, not whole file)
- Example: New **Open**/Deny-Read or Deny-Write or Deny-All fails if pre-existed exclusive **NLM byte-range lock**
- Example: New exclusive **NLM byte-range lock** request fails if pre-existed **Open**/Deny-Read or Deny-Write or Deny-All

The CIFS Oplock Model

- Oplocks Assure Global Cache Coherency for Read-Write-Shared Files with Minimized Network Traffic / Maximized Client Caching
- Server "Opportunistically" Grants First Client's Open (though non-exclusive) a temporary ("*breakable*") exclusive file-lock
- Client Caches Writes, Locks, Readaheads;
 Batch Oplock (kept "forever"): Client Caches Application Opens, Closes.
- Second Client's **Open** is Suspended while
 Server Sends Oplock Holder an **Oplock-Break-Message**
- Client Holding Oplock Has a Choice:
 (1) Close the File (e.g. "stale" *batch* oplock: application has exited); or
 (2) Flush Cached Writes & Locks, send *Oplock-Break-Ack* message

- Server Now Allows the Second Client's **Open** to Proceed









- NFS **rm** *Suspends* during Oplock Break Send-Response, then *Restarts*
- Case of Stale *Batch* Oplock: Oplocker Responds: File **Close** (*Restarted*) NFS **rm** Succeeds
- Case of Application Still Using File: Oplocker Responds: Writes, Locks, Oplock-Break-Ack (*Restarted*) NFS rm Fails

